

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

GOLDSMITHS COLLEGE

B. Sc. Examination 2010

Computer Science

IS53020A (CIS335) Logic Programming

Duration: 2 hours 15 minutes

Date and time:

There are five questions in this paper. You should answer no more than three questions. Full marks will be awarded for complete answers to a total of three questions. Each question carries 25 marks. The marks for each part of a question are indicated at the end of the part in [.] brackets.

There are 75 marks available on this paper.

No calculators should be used.

**THIS PAPER MUST NOT BE REMOVED
FROM THE EXAMINATION ROOM**

Question 1 Prolog syntax, unification and datatypes

- (a) Write down the most specific syntactic category, in Prolog, of the underlined part of each of the following items. For example, the most specific category of the underlined part of $p(\underline{X})$ is “variable”.

i. $q(\underline{2}) :- q(a,2).$ [1]

ii. $q(\underline{a(1,X)}).$ [1]

iii. $\underline{a} = 1.$ [1]

iv. $p(\underline{X}) :- \backslash+ q(X,Y), r(Y).$ [1]

v. $p(\underline{X}) :- \backslash+ q(X,Y), r(\underline{Y}).$ [1]

- (b) What are the effects of executing the following queries in SWI Prolog, in terms of success and failure and, where appropriate, the instantiation of variables?

i. $a(X) = a(Y).$ [1]

ii. $a(X,Y) = a(1,Z), f(g(Z),2)=f(g(X),Z).$ [2]

iii. $p(g(X),g(g(X))) = R(Z,Y), Y = Z.$ [4]

- (c) Explain the following syntactic types in Prolog in terms of atoms, terms and numbers and truth values:

i. **term** [2]

ii. **functor** [2]

- (d) Explain in detail the difference between the $=/2$ predicate and the $is/2$ predicate, giving at least one example of a pair of arguments on which they differ, and noting any conditions under which they are not executable. [5]

- (e) Write down Prolog data structures which will unify with the following data and nothing else, making all of the unified values available to further unification.

i. A list containing at least 4 items, the first being the same as the third. [2]

ii. A term whose functor is **p** and which has three arguments. [2]

Question 2 Negation and Metaprogramming

- (a) Given the notions of success and failure, corresponding with truth and falsehood in logic, describe the logical meaning of the Negation as Failure (NAF) operation in Prolog. [3]
- (b) What is *floundering*? [3]
- (c) Give a detailed example of how floundering can happen. [10]
- (d) Write down one or more further clauses which would enable the `solve/1` predicate, discussed in the course, to interpret the negation operator, `\+`, as negation as failure. You may use a cut in your solution. [9]

Question 3 Prolog predicate definition

- (a) Given that `ord/1` is a predicate which succeeds when its argument is a list of numbers sorted into increasing numerical order, and that `permutation/2` is a predicate that succeeds when its second argument is any permutation of its first, what mathematical operation does the predicate `m/2`, below, fulfil? Explain your reasoning, focusing especially on any special features of Prolog that are important here. [5]

```
m( A, B ) :- permutation( A, B ),
             ord( B ).
```

- (b) Write down a definition of `ord/1`. It will have three clauses, of which the first is given below; you may wish to use the built-in arithmetic operator, `=</2`; your predicate will be recursive. You need to think in terms of comparing each element of the list with the next. [20]

```
ord( [ ] ).
```

Question 4 Prolog lists and list processing and set predicates

(a) What is the primary difference between a list and other structured datatypes from the point of view of storing data items? [2]

(b) The syntax commonly used for Prolog lists, such as `[X|Y]` is *syntactic sugar* for a less readable internal representation. What are the two constructors from which the datatype is built? [6]

(c) The predicate `member/2` can be used to test whether a term is an element of a list. It is defined thus:

```
member( H, [H|_] ).  
member( X, [_|T] ) :-member( X, T ).
```

i. Using `member/2`, write a predicate, `memberboth/3`, which succeeds if its first argument is a member of both its second and third arguments, assuming they are lists. [2]

ii. Write a program which will use `memberboth/3` with a Prolog set predicate to compute the intersection (*i.e.*, the list of common members) of two lists. Call your program `intersection/3`. [5]

iii. Write another version of `memberboth/3` which does not call any other predicates. Your predicate will need 4 clauses, 3 of which will be recursive. To see how to write the new predicate, consider all the different cases generated by the two clauses of `member/2` in your answer to (i) above. [10]

Question 5 Prolog syntax, metaprogramming and cut

- (a) Write down the syntactic categories of the underlined parts of the following items. For example, in $p(\underline{X})$, X is a “variable”. Parts (ii) and (iii) have two possible answers: give both.

i. $p(C,D) \text{ :- } \underline{q(C,E), w(E,D)}$. [1]

ii. $X \text{ is } A \text{ + } 1$ [2]

iii. $X \text{ is } A \text{ + } 1$ [2]

- (b) Explain the function of the Prolog *cut* operator, $!$, in terms of both proof tree (you may presuppose the concept of backtracking) and variable instantiation. [9]

- (c) What is the difference between “red” and “green” cuts? What useful purpose do “green” cuts serve? [4]

- (d) Below is an incomplete version of the metainterpreter `solve/1`, which implements the standard Prolog execution rule. Clause 2, which is missing, deals with the case of a goal which is a conjunction of literals. Write down the missing clause. [7]

```
solve( true ).                % Cl. 1
% Missing clause 2, line 1   % Cl. 2
% Missing clause 2, line 2
solve( Goal ) :-clause( Goal, Next ),% Cl. 3
                    solve( Next ).
```