

# COMPUTER APPLICATIONS FOR HANDLING LEGAL EVIDENCE, POLICE INVESTIGATION AND CASE ARGUMENTATION

Volume 1

by

Ephraim Nissan

*Goldsmiths' College, University of London, UK*

with a chapter

by the VIRTOPSY team;

a chapter by Richard Leary;

sections by Jonathan Yovel;

by John Zeleznikow with Andrew Stranieri and John

Yearwood, or with Richard Leary and Wim Vandenbergh;

by Louis Akin; and by Jeroen Keppens;

and sections co-authored

by S. Eyal Shimony and E. Nissan;

by Aldo Franco Dragoni and E. Nissan; and by

Carmelo Asaro, E. Nissan, and Antonio A. Martino.

Foreword by John Zeleznikow



# Contents

## Volume 1

1	A Preliminary Historical Perspective . . . . .	1
2	Models of Forming an Opinion . . . . .	13
2.1	Modelling Adjudicators' Shifts of Opinion . . . . .	13
2.1.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	13
2.1.2	Distributed Belief Revision for Modelling the Dynamics of Adjudicators' Opinion . . . . .	16
	by Aldo Franco Dragoni and Ephraim Nissan	
2.1.3	Further Considerations, and Suggestions of Possible Refinements . . . . .	26
2.1.4	Devices of Manipulation of Incoming Information in Court . . . . .	28
2.1.5	Remarks About Procedures and Jurisdictions . . . . .	29
2.1.6	A Taxonomy of Quantitative Models . . . . .	31
2.1.7	An Excessive Focus on Juries? . . . . .	36
2.2	Reasoning About a Charge and Explanations: Seminal Tools from the Late 1980s and Their Aftermath . . . . .	39
2.2.1	ECHO, and PEIRCE's Remake of the Peyer Case . . . . .	39
2.2.1.1	Thagard's 1989 Simulation of the Jury at the Peyer Case . . . . .	39
2.2.1.2	Thagard's Principles of Explanatory Coherence . . . . .	40
2.2.1.3	Thagard's Neural Network Algorithm in ECHO . . . . .	41
2.2.1.4	Thagard's Greedy Algorithm for Simulating a Trial . . . . .	43
2.2.1.5	Josephson's Abducer, PEIRCE-IGTT . . . . .	44
2.2.1.6	Abductive Reasoning, and Inference to the Best Explanation . . . . .	47
2.2.1.7	The von Bülow Trials . . . . .	49

2.2.1.8	Thagard's Treatment of the von Bülow Trials: Using ECHO and a Coherence Network, vs. Producing a Bayesian Network with JavaBayes . . . . .	51
2.2.2	ALIBI, a Planner for Exoneration . . . . .	53
2.2.2.1	1989: An <i>Annus Mirabilis?</i> . . . . .	53
2.2.2.2	Workings and Structure of ALIBI . . . . .	54
2.2.2.3	Examples of ALIBI's Output . . . . .	57
2.2.2.4	Knowledge Representation in ALIBI . . . . .	61
2.2.2.5	An Illustration of the Conceptual Factors Involved in Common Sense About the Jeweller's Example . . . . .	69
2.2.2.6	An Afterlife of Some ALIBI Sessions . . . . .	75
2.2.2.7	Extension with the <i>Dramatis Personae</i> Approach . . . . .	79
2.2.2.8	Wells and Olson's Taxonomy of Alibis . . . . .	95
2.3	A Quick Survey of Some Bayesian and Naïve Bayesian Approaches in Law . . . . .	99
	by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	
2.3.1	Underlying Concepts . . . . .	99
2.3.2	Some Applications in Law . . . . .	104
2.4	The Controversy Concerning the Probabilistic Account of Juridical Proof . . . . .	107
2.5	A Quick Sampling of Some Probabilistic Applications . . . . .	111
2.5.1	Poole's Independent Choice Logic and Reasoning About Accounts of a Crime . . . . .	111
2.5.2	Dynamic Uncertain Inference Concerning Criminal Cases, and Snow and Belis's Recursive Multidimensional Scoring . . . . .	115
2.6	Kappa Calculus in the Service of Legal Evidence . . . . .	117
	by Solomon Eyal Shimony and Ephraim Nissan	
2.6.1	Preliminary Considerations . . . . .	117
2.6.2	A Review of Åqvist's Scheme . . . . .	119
2.6.3	A Review of Kappa Calculus . . . . .	120
2.6.4	A Comparison of the Schemes . . . . .	121
2.6.4.1	Equivalence of Kappa-Calculus to Grading Mechanisms . . . . .	121
2.6.4.2	Reintroducing the Probabilities . . . . .	124
2.6.5	Suggested Solution . . . . .	125
2.6.6	Contextual Assessment of the Method . . . . .	126
2.6.7	An Application to Relative Plausibility? Considerations About a Role for the Formalism . . . . .	126

3	Argumentation . . . . .	129
3.1	Types of Arguments . . . . .	129
3.2	Wigmore Charts vs. Toulmin Structure for Representing Relations Among Arguments . . . . .	130
3.2.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	130
3.2.2	The Notation of Wigmore Charts . . . . .	132
3.2.3	A Wigmorean Analysis of an Example . . . . .	133
3.2.3.1	The Case, the Propositions, and the Wigmore Chart . . . . .	133
3.2.3.2	Considerations About the Situation at Hand . . . . .	136
3.2.4	Another Example: An Embarrassing Situation in Court . . . . .	137
3.2.4.1	An Episode During a Trial . . . . .	137
3.2.4.2	The Propositions and Their Wigmore Charts . . . . .	139
3.3	Pollock's Inference Graphs and Degrees of Justification . . . . .	146
3.4	Beliefs . . . . .	149
3.4.1	Beliefs, in Some Artificial Intelligence Systems . . . . .	149
3.4.2	Dispositional Beliefs vs. Dispositions to Believe . . . . .	152
3.4.3	Common Knowledge, and Consequentialism . . . . .	153
3.4.4	Commitment vs. Belief: Walton's Approach . . . . .	154
3.4.4.1	The Problem of Recognising Belief, Based on Commitment . . . . .	154
3.4.4.2	Walton's Argument Schemes and Critical Questions for Argument from Commitment . . . . .	156
3.4.4.3	Walton's Argument Scheme and Critical Questions for Telling Out Belief Based on Commitment . . . . .	157
3.4.4.4	Another Approach to Critical Questions . . . . .	159
3.5	Arguments in PERSUADER . . . . .	161
3.6	Representing Arguments in <i>Carneades</i> . . . . .	164
3.6.1	<i>Carneades</i> vs. Toulmin . . . . .	164
3.6.2	Proof Standards in <i>Carneades</i> . . . . .	165
3.6.3	The Notation of <i>Carneades</i> . . . . .	165
3.7	Some Computer Tools that Handle Argumentation . . . . .	166
3.8	Four Layers of Legal Arguments . . . . .	168
3.9	A Survey of the Literature on Computational Models of Argumentation . . . . .	169
3.9.1	Within AI & Law . . . . .	169
3.9.2	Within Other Research Communities . . . . .	171
3.10	Computational Models of Legal Argumentation About Evidence . . . . .	174
3.10.1	Some Early and Ongoing Research . . . . .	174
3.10.2	<i>Stevie</i> . . . . .	176

3.11	Argumentation for Dialectical Situations, vs. for Structuring Knowledge Non-dialectically, and an Integration of the Two . . . . .	177
	by Andrew Stranieri, John Zeleznikow, and John Yearwood	
3.11.1	Three Categories of Concepts Grouping Concepts of Argumentation . . . . .	177
3.11.2	From the Toulmin Argument Structure, to the Generic Actual Argument Model . . . . .	178
3.11.3	Dialectical vs. Non-Dialectical Argumentation . . . . .	179
3.11.4	Variations of Toulmin's Structure . . . . .	181
	3.11.4.1 Johnson's Variation of the Toulmin Layout . . . . .	183
	3.11.4.2 The Freeman and Farley Variation on Toulmin Warrants . . . . .	184
	3.11.4.3 Bench-Capon's Variation of the Toulmin Layout . . . . .	186
	3.11.4.4 Considerations Concerning Toulmin Variations . . . . .	187
3.11.5	A Generic Non-dialectical Model of Argumentation: The <i>Generic Actual Argument Model (GAAM)</i> . . . . .	187
	3.11.5.1 The Argument Template . . . . .	187
	3.11.5.2 Discussion . . . . .	191
	3.11.5.3 Representing Actual Arguments . . . . .	192
3.11.6	Applications of the Generic/Actual Argument Model .	195
	3.11.6.1 The <i>Split Up</i> System for Negotiating a Divorce . . . . .	195
	3.11.6.2 The <i>Embrace</i> System for Assessing Refugee Status . . . . .	200
	3.11.6.3 The <i>GetAid</i> System for Legal Aid Eligibility . . . . .	202
	3.11.6.4 An Application Outside Law: <i>eTourism</i> .	203
3.11.7	Envoi . . . . .	205
4	Computer Assistance for, or Insights into, Organisational Aspects . . . . .	207
4.1	Computer Help for Organising . . . . .	207
	4.1.1 Procedural-Support Systems for Organising the Evidence: <i>CaseNote</i> , <i>MarshalPlan</i> , <i>Daedalus</i> . . . . .	207
	4.1.2 The Lund Procedure . . . . .	210
	4.1.3 <i>Daedalus</i> , a Procedural-Support Tool for the Italian Examining Magistrate and Prosecutor . . . . .	212
	by Carmelo Asaro, Ephraim Nissan, and Antonio A. Martino	
	4.1.3.1 Background of the Project, and Its Users .	212
	4.1.3.2 General Remarks About Italian Procedural Law . . . . .	213

4.1.3.3	The Phases of an Inquiry in Italy . . . . .	215
4.1.3.4	The Criteria of an Inquiry . . . . .	218
4.1.3.5	Once the Decision to Prosecute is Taken . .	221
4.1.3.6	A Sample Session: The Bindi Extortion Case . . . . .	222
4.1.3.7	Effects of the Jurisdiction: Why Is <i>Daedalus'</i> Emphasis on Step-by-Step Validation So Important in Italy? And Why Is the Statute of Limitations So Important in <i>Itaca?</i> . . . . .	236
	by Ephraim Nissan	
4.1.3.8	Further Considerations About <i>Daedalus</i> , and About Select Aspects of the Human Process It Subserves	237
	by Ephraim Nissan	
4.2	On Some Criminal Justice Information Systems or Other Tools . . . . .	239
4.2.1	Tools for Decision Support, vs. Tools for Applying a Procedure . . . . .	239
4.2.2	Risks of Too High Data Concentration . . . . .	240
4.2.3	Support from User Communities, vs. Tools Bestowed from Above . . . . .	241
4.2.4	Past Cases, New Cases, and Using the Former for the Latter . . . . .	243
4.2.5	Prosecutorial Discretion and Judicial Discretion . . .	246
4.3	Evaluating Costs and Benefits . . . . .	249
4.3.1	Evaluating Costs and Benefits While Preparing a Case . . . . .	249
4.3.1.1	Ways Economics and Evidence Meet: The Rules of Evidence in Terms of Economic Rationality . . . . .	249
4.3.1.2	Alvin Goldman's Concept of Epistemic Paternalism . . . . .	250
4.3.1.3	The Litigation Risk Analysis Method . . .	252
4.3.1.4	Bargaining, and Game Theory . . . . .	253
4.3.2	Evaluating the Effects of Obtaining or Renouncing a Piece of Evidence . . . . .	255
4.3.3	Benefits, Costs, and Dangers of Argumentation . . .	256
4.3.4	Costs and Benefits of Digital Forensic Investigations .	260
4.4	ADVOKATE, and Assessing Eyewitness Suitability and Reliability . . . . .	263
4.4.1	The Turnbull Rules . . . . .	263
4.4.2	The ADVOKATE Project . . . . .	266
4.4.3	More on Taxonomies of Factors . . . . .	270

4.5	Policing: Organisational Aspects of Intelligence, and the Handling of Suspects . . . . .	273
4.5.1	Organisational Problems of Police Intelligence Systems . . . . .	273
4.5.2	Handling the Suspects: Equipment, Techniques, and Crucial Problems . . . . .	281
4.5.2.1	Polygraph Tests: A Deeply Controversial Tool . . . . .	281
4.5.2.2	A Caution Against Unquestioned Assumptions: A Digression on Juridic Cultures and the Evidentiary Value of Self-Incriminating Confessions . . . . .	287
4.5.2.3	Computerised Identity Parades (Lineups) .	290
4.6	Relevance . . . . .	298
4.6.1	Definitions . . . . .	298
4.6.2	Legal Formalism, Artificial Intelligence and the Indeterminacy of Relevance . . . . . by Jonathan Yovel	301
4.6.2.1	Relevance, Within Law as Being a System for Processing Information . . . . .	301
4.6.2.2	Relevance: Why It Is Difficult for Formal Systems . . . . .	302
4.6.2.3	Relevance and Legal Formalism . . . . .	305
4.6.2.4	Relevance, Evidence and Beyond: Three Theoretical Approaches . . . . .	308
4.6.2.5	Considerations About Applying Relevance Logic . . . . .	313
4.6.2.6	A Refutation of the Argument from the Distinction Between Relevance and Admissibility . . . . .	314
4.6.2.7	Conclusion of the Section About Relevance . . . . .	316
4.6.3	Relevance Logic . . . . .	316
4.6.3.1	A Gentle Introduction to the Main Concepts . . . . .	316
4.6.3.2	Any Potential for Application in Automated Tools for Law? . . . . .	320
5	The Narrative Dimension . . . . .	323
5.1	Legal Narratives . . . . .	323
5.1.1	Overall Narrative Plausibility: Preliminaries . . . . .	323
5.1.2	Approaches to Narratives from the “New Evidence Scholarship” . . . . .	324
5.1.3	Background Generalisations . . . . .	328
5.1.4	The Impact of Modes of Communication . . . . .	334

5.1.5	Pitfalls to Avoid: There Is No Shortcut for the Practically Minded, and No Alternative to Reading the Legal Literature on Evidence . . . . .	335
5.2	An Overview of Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Narratives . . . . .	336
5.2.1	What Is in a Narrative? . . . . .	336
5.2.2	A Fable Gone Awry: An Example of Story-Generation with TALE-SPIN . . . . .	337
5.2.3	A Few Challenges . . . . .	339
5.2.4	The Task of Reconstructing the Facts . . . . .	342
5.2.5	Grammar-Driven vs. Goal-Driven Processing of Stories: Propp's Precedent . . . . .	344
5.2.6	Let Us Not Simplify the 1970s: A More Populated Pool of Approaches, and More Nuanced Distinctions . . . . .	349
5.2.7	Some Computational Narrative Processing Projects from the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and Later . .	351
5.2.8	Primitive Acts in the Conceptual Dependency Approach . . . . .	357
5.2.9	Scripts, Goals, Plans, MOPs, and TAUs in the Conceptual Dependency Approach . . . . .	358
5.2.9.1	Goals . . . . .	358
5.2.9.2	Scripts in Cullingford's SAM . . . . .	359
5.2.9.3	I-Links and MOPS (Memory Organization Packages) in Dyer's BORIS . . . . .	361
5.2.9.4	Evidence for a Divorce Case, in BORIS . .	363
5.2.9.5	Thematic Abstraction Units (TAUs) in Dyer's BORIS . . . . .	364
5.2.9.6	Other Kinds of Knowledge Sources in BORIS . . . . .	365
5.2.9.7	Contractual Situations and Shady Deals in STARE . . . . .	371
5.2.10	SWALE and Related Systems for Generating Explanations from Precedents . . . . .	373
5.2.11	Input from Earlier Research into More Recent Research in Automated Story Understanding . . . . .	376
5.2.12	Other Systems for Automated Story Understanding .	378
5.2.13	Automated or Interactive Story Generation . . . . .	380
5.2.14	eChronicle Systems . . . . .	389
5.2.15	Virtual Embodied Agents . . . . .	394
5.2.16	Story-Generation with MINSTREL . . . . .	397
5.2.17	Environments For Storytelling . . . . .	399
5.2.18	Bias in Narrative Reporting, and Nonlinear Retelling .	403
5.2.19	Self-Exoneration with ALIBI, in the Perspective of Narrative Inventiveness . . . . .	404

5.2.20	Crime Stories, Mediation by the Media, and Crime Fiction: Any Lesson to Be Learnt in Computer Models? . . . . .	406
5.2.20.1	Criminal Investigation and Criminal Trials Within the Remit of Literary Studies . . . . .	406
5.2.20.2	When Life Imitates Art . . . . .	410
5.2.20.3	The <i>JAMA</i> Model: Modelling an Outcry for Failing to Prosecute. On the Impinging Cultural Effects of a Repertoire of Former Narratives . . . . .	411
5.2.20.4	Episodic Similarities vs. Character Similarities . . . . .	417
5.2.21	Mathematical Logic and Crime Stories from <i>CSI: Crime Scene Investigation</i> <sup>TM</sup> : Löwe, Pacuit and Saraf's Representation, Building Blocks, and Algorithm . . . . .	418
5.2.22	Other Approaches . . . . .	427
5.3	Episodic Formulae . . . . .	428
5.3.1	Instances of a Method of Representation for Narratives and Legal Narratives . . . . .	428
5.3.2	The Notation of Episodic Formulae . . . . .	435
5.3.3	An Example: From Suspects and Allegations to Forensic Testing of the Stuffed Birds of the Meinertzhagen Collection . . . . .	444
5.3.3.1	The Background, and the Narrative Represented . . . . .	444
5.3.3.2	Preliminaries of the Formal Representation for the Stuffed Birds Case: Formulae About Meinertzhagen and His Bird Collection . . . . .	454
5.3.3.3	A Notation for Biographies . . . . .	458
5.3.3.4	Formalising the Allegations About Meinertzhagen's Stuffed Birds . . . . .	464
5.4	Bex's Approach to Combining Stories and Arguments in Sense-Making Software for Crime Investigation . . . . .	476
5.5	Persuasion Stories vs. Arguments . . . . .	481

## Volume 2

6	Accounting for Social, Spatial, and Textual Interconnections . . . . .	483
6.1	Methods . . . . .	483
6.1.1	An Introduction . . . . .	483
6.1.2	Social Networks, and Link Analysis . . . . .	494
6.1.2.1	Social Networks and Their Visualisation . . . . .	494
6.1.2.2	Link Analysis . . . . .	504

6.1.2.3	Link Analysis Tools for Criminal Investigation . . . . .	508
6.1.2.4	Various Tools Applied to Criminal Intelligence . . . . .	511
6.1.2.5	Gianluigi Me's Investigation Strategy for Tackling Internet Child Pornography . . . . .	511
6.1.3	Assessing the Risk of Crimes . . . . .	512
6.1.4	Geographic Information Systems for Mapping Crimes . . . . .	513
6.1.5	Detection . . . . .	518
6.1.5.1	General Considerations . . . . .	518
6.1.5.2	Complex Tools' Vulnerability to Manipulation by Perpetrators . . . . .	520
6.1.6	Autonomous Agents . . . . .	524
6.1.6.1	From Blackboard to Multiagent Systems .	524
6.1.6.2	Multiagent Systems, Simulation and Geographic Space, in Tools for Training Police Officers . . . . .	534
6.1.7	The Challenge of Handling a Disparate Mass of Data . . . . .	536
6.1.7.1	Data Warehousing . . . . .	536
6.1.7.2	XML for Interoperability Between Data Sources . . . . .	537
6.1.7.3	Ontologies . . . . .	544
6.1.7.4	Legal Ontologies . . . . . by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	553
6.1.7.5	An Application of Ontologies to Eliminating Sensitive Information While Declassifying Documents: The Case of Accounts of Crime Investigation . . . . .	559
6.1.7.6	A Digression – <i>Maurice v. Judd</i> (New York, 1818): Is Whale Oil a Kind of Fish Oil? When the Jury Had to Decide About Ontology . . . . .	561
6.1.7.7	Legal Modelling, and Financial Fraud Ontology Transnational Online Investment Fraud . . . . . by Richard Leary, Wim Vandenberghe, and John Zeleznikow	566
6.1.8	Automatic Text (and Multimedia) Summarisation . . . . .	587
6.1.8.1	An Overview . . . . .	587
6.1.8.2	Text Summarisation Projects for Law . . . . . by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	595

6.1.9	Text Mining . . . . .	598
6.1.9.1	General Considerations . . . . .	598
6.1.9.2	Examples of text Mining as Applied to Law . . . . .	602
6.1.9.3	Support Vector Machines, and Their Use for Information Retrieval, Text Classification and Matching	603
	by Andrew Stranieri, John Zeleznikow, and Ephraim Nissan	
6.1.10	Stylometrics, Determining Authorship, Handwriting, and Questioned Documents Evidence . . . . .	611
6.1.11	Classification, Clustering, Series Analysis, and Association in Knowledge Discovery from Legal Databases . . . . .	618
	by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	
6.1.11.1	Classification . . . . .	618
6.1.11.2	Clustering . . . . .	621
6.1.11.3	Series Analysis . . . . .	622
6.1.11.4	Detecting Association Rules . . . . .	623
6.1.11.5	On Interestingness. Commonplace Cases, Rather Than Leading (Interesting, Landmark) Cases, Are Suitable in Training Sets for Legal Knowledge Discovery Algorithms . . . . .	625
6.1.12	Inconsistent Data . . . . .	628
	by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	
6.1.12.1	Reasons for Inconsistency . . . . .	628
6.1.12.2	Noise and Outliers . . . . .	628
6.1.12.3	Judicial Error as a Source of Inconsistency . . . . .	630
6.1.12.4	Dealing with Contradictory Data: An Example from <i>Split Up</i> . . . . .	633
6.1.12.5	Inconsistencies Due to New Legislation or Precedents . . . . .	635
6.1.12.6	How to Deal with Inconsistent Data . . . . .	636
6.1.13	Rule Induction . . . . .	637
	by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	
6.1.13.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	637
6.1.13.2	Pattern Interestingness . . . . .	638
6.1.13.3	Features of, and Difficulties with, Rule Induction Systems . . . . .	639
6.1.13.4	Examples of Rule Induction in Law . . . . .	642

6.1.14	Using Neural Networks . . . . . by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	643
6.1.14.1	Historical Background . . . . .	643
6.1.14.2	Feed Forward Networks . . . . .	645
6.1.14.3	Back Propagation of Errors . . . . .	650
6.1.14.4	Setting Up a Neural Network . . . . .	650
6.1.14.5	Training a Neural Network . . . . .	652
6.1.14.6	Learning Rate . . . . .	653
6.1.14.7	Momentum and Bias . . . . .	654
6.1.14.8	Training Stopping Criteria . . . . .	654
6.1.14.9	Application to Law of Neural Networks .	656
6.1.14.10	Application to Classification . . . . .	658
6.1.14.11	Application to Rule Defeasibility . . . . .	659
6.1.14.12	Vagueness . . . . .	661
6.1.14.13	Application to Modelling Discretionary Legal Domains . . . . .	662
6.1.14.14	Unsupervised Neural Networks . . . . .	663
6.1.14.15	Text Clustering with Self-Organising Maps (Kohonen Neural Networks) . . . . .	664
6.1.15	Using Fuzzy Logic . . . . .	666
	by Andrew Stranieri and John Zeleznikow	
6.1.16	Using Genetic Algorithms in Data Mining . . . . .	668
	by Andrew Stranieri, John Zeleznikow, and Ephraim Nissan	
6.1.16.1	Evolutionary Computing and Genetic Algorithms . . . . .	668
6.1.16.2	Genetic and Other Methods as Applied to Transforming Pre-processed Data Upstream of the Data Mining Phase . . . . .	671
6.1.16.3	Nearest Neighbours Approaches and Their Integration with Genetic Algorithms . . . . .	674
6.2	Case Studies of Link Analysis and Data Mining . . . . .	675
6.2.1	Digital Resources and Uncovering Perpetration: Email Mining, Computer Forensics, and Intrusion Detection . . . . .	675
6.2.1.1	Email Mining . . . . .	675
6.2.1.2	The Enron Email Database as an Opportunity for Research . . . . .	677
6.2.1.3	Discovering Social Coalitions with the SIGHTS Text Mining System .	679
6.2.1.4	Recursive Data Mining . . . . .	682

6.2.1.5	The Disciplinary Context: A Brief Introduction to Computer Forensics . . . . .	685
6.2.1.6	Digital Steganography . . . . .	689
6.2.1.7	Digital Forensics and Bayesian Networks . . . . .	692
6.2.1.8	Intrusion Detection in Computer Resources A Glimpse of an Intruder's Modus Operandi . . . . .	695
6.2.1.9	A Classification of Intrusion Detection Systems . . . . .	697
6.2.1.10	Intrusion Detection by Means of Various Learning Techniques . . . . .	701
6.2.1.11	Masquerading and Its Detection . . . . .	703
6.2.1.12	Honeypots for Trapping Intruders . . . . .	706
6.2.2	The United States' Anti-Drug Network (ADNET) . . . . .	712
6.2.3	Investigating Internet Auction Fraud . . . . .	714
6.2.3.1	What the Problem Is . . . . .	714
6.2.3.2	Data Mining and Online Auction Fraud: Techniques in the Background of NetProbe . . . . .	721
6.2.3.3	How NetProbe Works . . . . .	730
6.2.3.4	A Non-Mining Model for Reasoning on the Evidence of Online Auction Fraud . . . . .	736
6.2.4	Graph Mining for Malware Detection, Using <i>Polonium</i> . . . . .	740
6.2.4.1	Preliminaries About Graph Mining . . . . .	740
6.2.4.2	The <i>Polonium</i> System . . . . .	742
6.2.5	Link Analysis with <i>Coplink</i> . . . . .	748
6.2.6	The EDS Project for the U.S. Federal Defense Financial Accounting Service . . . . .	752
6.2.7	Information Extraction Tools for Integration with a Link Analysis Tool, Developed in the Late 1990s by Sterling Software . . . . .	754
6.2.8	The Poznan Ontology Model for the Link Analysis of Fuel Fraud . . . . .	758
6.2.9	Fiscal Fraud Detection with the Pisa SNIPER Project . . . . .	762
7	FLINTS, a Tool for Police Investigation and Intelligence Analysis: A project by Richard Leary explained by its author . . . . .	767
7.1	Introduction: Motivations and History of the Project . . . . .	767
7.2	Early Beginnings . . . . .	769
7.3	FLINTS 1 . . . . .	771
7.4	Identifying "Unknown" Offenders . . . . .	773
7.5	Systemising the Identification of Unknown Offenders . . . . .	774
7.6	Link Detection . . . . .	778

7.7	The First Generation of FLINTS . . . . .	780
7.8	Integration, Linking and Analysis Tools . . . . .	781
7.9	Expanding FLINTS to Other Police Areas . . . . .	783
7.10	Volume Crimes and Volume Suspects: Not <i>Single</i> Events and <i>Single</i> Suspects . . . . .	785
7.11	Performance Monitoring and System Identification . . . . .	785
7.12	Using FLINTS: A Tour of the System as the User Sees It . . . . .	787
7.13	The Intellectual Foundations of FLINTS . . . . .	800
7.14	What Is It About FLINTS That Makes It Different? . . . . .	801
7.15	A Case Study in Linked Burglary . . . . .	802
7.16	Forensic Decision-Making . . . . .	808
7.17	Second-Generation FLINTS . . . . .	815
7.18	Access to the System: Searching or Surfing? . . . . .	817
7.19	Asking Questions About People and Suspects . . . . .	820
7.20	Asking Questions About Crimes and Events . . . . .	820
7.21	Displaying Modified Wigmorean Charts: Graphical Results in FLINTS . . . . .	821
7.22	Geographical Analysis . . . . .	824
7.23	Temporal Analysis . . . . .	826
7.24	Prolific (Volume) Offenders Search . . . . .	827
7.25	Using Geography to Identify Prolific Offenders . . . . .	828
7.26	Hot Spot Searches . . . . .	833
7.27	Vehicle Searching . . . . .	835
7.28	Analytical Audit Trails . . . . .	836
8	The Forensic Disciplines: Some Areas of Actual or Potential Application . . . . .	841
8.1	Crime Scenario Modelling: The Dead Bodies Project, and a Scenario Space Generated Using an ATMS . . . . .	841
8.1.1	Generating Crime Scenarios Automatically . . . . .	841
8.1.2	The Structure of ATMS Inference in the Scenario Space Builder . . . . .	850
8.1.3	An Extension with Bayesian Networks, Entropy, and Returned Evidence Collection Strategies . . . . .	852
8.1.4	Further Research . . . . . by Jeroen Keppens	855
8.2	Processing Human Faces: A Panoply of Contexts . . . . .	858
8.2.1	Computer Tools for Face Processing: Preliminary Considerations . . . . .	858
8.2.2	Face Recognition Tools for Identification . . . . .	859
8.2.2.1	Facial Recognition Classification, from a Database of Mug Shots . . . . .	859

8.2.2.2	Reconstructing a Face from Verbal Descriptions: Mug Shots, vs. Sketches and Composites . . . . .	861
8.2.2.3	<i>FacePrints</i> for Generating Facial Composites . . . . .	864
8.2.2.4	The CRIME-VUs and EvoFIT Projects . .	864
8.2.3	Age-Progression Software and Post-Surgery Face Recognition . . . . .	868
8.2.4	Facial Expression Recognition . . . . .	871
8.2.5	Digital Image Forensics . . . . .	871
8.2.6	Facial Reconstruction from Skeletal Remains . . . . .	874
8.2.7	Considerations about Socio-Cultural Factors in Portraiture That Have Been Analysed with Episodic Formulae . . . . .	877
8.3	The Burgeoning Forensic Disciplines of Expert Opinion . . . . .	879
8.3.1	General Considerations, and Some of the Specialties . . . . .	879
8.3.2	Statistics Comes into the Picture . . . . .	886
8.3.3	Some More Forensic Disciplines . . . . .	890
8.4	The Contribution to Forensic Science of Anthropology and Archaeology . . . . .	893
8.4.1	Forensic Archaeology and Anthropology . . . . .	893
8.4.2	Factors Involved in Forensic Anthropology . . . . .	896
8.4.2.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	896
8.4.2.2	Ante-mortem Skeletal Pathology, and Para-, Peri-, and Post-mortem Traumas . . . . .	897
8.4.2.3	A Digression on Formal Models of Time .	898
8.4.2.4	Software Tools for Human Anatomy . . .	901
8.5	Aspects of the Contribution to Forensic Science of Geology, Geophysics, and Botany . . . . .	905
8.5.1	Forensic Geology . . . . .	905
8.5.2	Techniques from Geophysics in Forensic Archaeology vs. in Archaeology . . . . .	908
8.5.3	A Clarification About Time Slicing . . . . .	913
8.5.4	From Soil to Scent: Between Current Practice and Imagining the Digital Potential . . . . .	915
8.5.4.1	Scent-Detection, Odorology, Cadaver Dogs, and Gas Soil Surveying: The Detection of the Scent of an Individual, vs. the Detection of a Kind (Graves) . . . . .	915
8.5.4.2	Electronic Noses . . . . .	916
8.5.5	Forensic Palynology . . . . .	924
8.5.6	Computing in Environmental Forensics . . . . .	928

Contents	xxxii	
8.6	Forensic Engineering . . . . .	935
8.7	Individual Identification . . . . .	937
8.7.1	The Cultural Context: The History of Identification Methods . . . . .	937
8.7.2	DNA and Fingerprints . . . . .	943
8.7.2.1	DNA Evidence: A Brief Introduction . . .	943
8.7.2.2	Statisticians' Disagreements About How to Evaluate DNA Samples . .	946
8.7.2.3	Human Fingerprints . . . . .	948
8.7.2.4	Fingerprints from Dead Bodies . . . . .	952
8.7.2.5	The Problem of Assessing Fingerprint Sufficient Similarity . . . .	952
8.7.3	Computational Techniques for Fingerprint Recognition . . . . .	957
8.7.3.1	General Considerations . . . . .	957
8.7.3.2	Bistarelli, Santini, and Vaccarelli's Algorithm, Suiting the Hardware Constraints of a Smartcard Architecture .	963
8.7.3.3	The Tohoku Algorithm for Fingerprint Matching Based on Band-Limited Phase-Only Correlation . . . . .	967
8.8	Bloodstain Pattern Analysis, and the Use of Software for Determining the Angle of Impact of Blood Drops . . . . .	973
8.8.1	The Basics . . . . .	973
8.8.2	Software . . . . .	977
8.8.3	Point or Area of Origin . . . . .	978
8.8.4	More Concerning Software . . . . .	981
8.8.5	Effects of Velocity on Blood Drops and Blood Spatter . . . . . by Louis Akin	983
8.8.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	983
8.8.5.2	Photography, and Traditional Determination of Velocities of Blood Spatter . . . . .	985
8.8.5.3	Blood Spatter Flight Characteristics . . .	987
8.8.5.4	Point of Convergence (POC) . . . . .	988
8.8.5.5	Determining the Angle of Impact (AOI), and the Point of Origin . . . . .	989
9	Virtopsy: The Virtual Autopsy . . . . .	991
	by Lars C. Ebert, Thomas Ruder, David Zimmermann, Stefan Zuber, Ursula Buck, Antoine Roggo, Michael Thali, and Gary Hatch	
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	991

9.1.1	Preliminary Considerations . . . . .	991
9.1.2	Indications for Virtopsy . . . . .	992
9.2	Technical Aspects of Virtopsy: Imaging Modalities and Techniques . . . . .	993
9.2.1	The Virtobot System . . . . .	993
9.2.2	Photogrammetry and Surface Scanning . . . . .	994
9.2.3	Post-mortem Computer Tomography (PMCT) . . . . .	995
9.2.3.1	CT Scanners . . . . .	995
9.2.3.2	Identification by Means of CT Scanning . . . . .	996
9.2.4	Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) . . . . .	996
9.2.5	Post-mortem CT Angiography . . . . .	998
9.2.6	Tissue/Liquid Sampling . . . . .	999
9.2.7	Virtopsy Workflow . . . . .	1000
9.3	Visualisation: The Main Concepts for Storage, Processing and Visualization of Medical Image Data . . . . .	1002
9.3.1	Data Storage . . . . .	1002
9.3.2	Imaging in Two Dimensions (2D Imaging) . . . . .	1002
9.3.3	Imaging in Three Dimensions (3D Imaging) . . . . .	1003
9.3.4	Animation . . . . .	1005
9.3.5	Segmentation . . . . .	1006
9.3.6	Image Fusion . . . . .	1007
9.3.7	Rapid Prototyping . . . . .	1007
9.3.8	Post-mortem vs. Ante-mortem Imaging . . . . .	1008
9.3.9	Medical Image Data for Radiologists and Pathologists . . . . .	1008
9.3.10	Medical Image Data for Medical Laypersons . . . . .	1010
9.4	Virtopsy and the Swiss Justice System . . . . .	1011
9.4.1	Advantages of Virtopsy in Court . . . . .	1011
9.4.2	Virtopsy in the Current Legal System and Practice of Switzerland . . . . .	1012
9.4.3	Criminal Procedure in Switzerland: The Legal Basis for Virtopsy Imaging Methods? . . . . .	1012
9.4.3.1	Background . . . . .	1012
9.4.3.2	Legal Basis for Virtopsy in Switzerland . . . . .	1013
9.4.3.3	Evidence Law in Switzerland . . . . .	1013
10	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	1017
	Appendix: Glossary . . . . .	1021
	References . . . . .	1123
	Author Index . . . . .	1269
	Subject Index . . . . .	1273