

# My Project Report

A. Goldsmiths-Student  
Supervisor: Dr Ann Academic

January 24, 2009

# Abstract

This is the abstract of my report.

# The problem I am solving

These titles should not appear word for word in your report. They are guidelines as to the meaning of the titles that you should insert. There does need to be a chapter or section for each of these headings, though, regardless of exactly what you call them, and you may want to add more, depending on what you need to say.



# Why my problem is interesting

- Schema theory was introduced in the 1950s by a Russian Mathematician, Ianov. It was seen as a way of proving the correctness of compiler optimisations.

# Why my problem is interesting

- Schema theory was introduced in the 1950s by a Russian Mathematician, Ianov. It was seen as a way of proving the correctness of compiler optimisations.
- Schemas are an abstract way of representing classes of programs with identical structure.

## In this talk:

*Author et al. (1927) argue that nothing is true. You use this if you need to make the authors part of your sentence. Look at the .tex file to see how this is done.*

## In this talk:

*Author et al. (1927) argue that nothing is true. You use this if you need to make the authors part of your sentence. Look at the .tex file to see how this is done.*

The second is as follows:

*It has been argued that nothing is true (Author et al., 1927). You use this if you don't mention the authors in your sentence. Look at the .tex file to see how this is done.*

When you do this, you must have a definition for each item in your DoCReport.bib file. There is an example to help you, for journal articles (Author et al., 1927), books (Author and Co-author, 1352), chapters in collection books (Author, 2009), and miscellaneous things like web sites (Bloke, 1904). You can make up the keys for these things (that is, ArticleA, etc.); you just need to make sure that each is unique. It doesn't matter what order to place the entries in the .bib file.

## In this talk:

*Author et al. (1927) argue that nothing is true. You use this if you need to make the authors part of your sentence. Look at the .tex file to see how this is done.*

The second is as follows:

*It has been argued that nothing is true (Author et al., 1927). You use this if you don't mention the authors in your sentence. Look at the .tex file to see how this is done.*

When you do this, you must have a definition for each item in your DoCReport.bib file. There is an example to help you, for journal articles (Author et al., 1927), books (Author and Co-author, 1352), chapters in collection books (Author, 2009), and miscellaneous things like web sites (Bloke, 1904). You can make up the keys for these things (that is, ArticleA, etc.); you just need to make sure that each is unique. It doesn't matter what order to place the entries in the .bib file.

If you compare the entries in the .bib file, you'll see that each is rendered differently into a bibliography entry at the back of the formatted report.

You can get help with  $\LaTeX$  and BibTeX from <http://www.math.harvard.edu/texman/> Kuhn et al. (2008), and from <http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/LaTeX>.

# An important thing to note!!

$\LaTeX$  deals carefully with all the forward and backward referencing in your document (e.g., tables of contents, etc.). This means that you sometimes need to run it as many as three times before all the inter-dependencies are sorted out. Make sure you read the output of the program, which will tell you when you need to run it again.

This text is part of a figure. It is centred (note the American spelling!).

Latex will put the figure at the place most appropriate for it,  
according to publishing convention, automatically.

This is how you make a figure in your document.

Part 1	Part 2	Part 3
Result A	Result B	Total

**Table:** This is how you make a table in your document.

# Project Description

Textual Description of what you have built

# Block Diagram

# Work Plan

Describe the work plan you proposed in your second deliverable and assess whether you stuck to it.

## System Evaluation

Summary and Conclusions The project aimed to... The project achieved... This was a fabulous/good/bad/terrible way to address this task. Here's why...

Project Self-Evaluation In this chapter, you reflect on what you did in your project and how well you did it. Did you meet the outcomes you said you would? If not, why not? How could you have done better? Did your planning work well? How could you improve it? What you learned about yourself in doing this project.

Author, A., Author, A. S., and Author, A. T. (1927). The title of the paper. *The name of the journal*, 1(1):1–100.

Author, A. D. and Co-author, A. (1352). *The book they wrote*. London University Press, London, UK.

Author, J. O. (2009). Just one chapter of many. In Editor, O. and Editor, A., editors, *The Collection of chapters*. Another University Press, Somewhere, New York.

Bloke, S. (1904). My web site. [www.foo.bar](http://www.foo.bar); Last accessed: 10/10/1904.

Kuhn, R., Scott, R., and Andreev, L. (2008). An introduction to using  $\text{\TeX}$  in the Harvard Mathematics Department.

<http://www.math.harvard.edu/texman/texman.html>; Last accessed: 18/01/2008.